




January 25, 2001

TO: Anadromous Fish Managers (AFC)

FROM: John Palensky, Vice-chair  For

SUBJECT: Draft Action Notes for January 17, 2001 AFC Meeting

If there are no objections within five days, these actions will be considered approved.

Draft Action Notes

Attendees: John Palensky (Vice-chair), Lynne Krasnow, Chris Toole, Bob Iwamoto and Tom Flagg (NMFS); Ron Boyce (ODFW); Lynn Hatcher (YN); Phil Roger and Paul Lumley (CRITFC); Bob Foster (WDFW); Tom Iverson and Tom Giese (CBFWA)

By phone: Keith Kutchins (SBT) and Bert Bowler (IDFG)

Time allocation:	Objective 1. FY 2001 Renewal Process	100%
	Objective 2. Rolling Province Review and Subbasin Summaries	0%
	Objective 3. FY 2000 Adjustments	0%
	Objective 4. Template for watershed and subbasin assessment and plan	0%
	Objective 5. Coordinate program amendments	0%

Item 1: Review Systemwide High Priority Project Proposals

The group reviewed the high priority project proposals assigned to the Systemwide sub regional team. After some discussion, it was determined to assign projects to three categories: 1) projects that meet the solicitation criteria, 2) projects that the managers consider high priority but do not meet the solicitation criteria, and 3) projects that are not high priority and should be taken up in the provincial review.

Item 2: Review Sub Regional Team High Priority Review Results

The group ran out of time for reviewing all of the sub regional team results. A meeting was scheduled for Monday January 22 from 1:00 to 4:00 p.m. to review and approve the AFC project proposal review results.

Item 2: The criteria provided by the NWPPC and BPA regarding the High Priority solicitation effectively limits fundable projects to habitat based efforts. The solicitation and subsequent proposal review has identified additional high priority projects that do not meet the criteria provided by the solicitation, but warrant funding in an expedited manner. These projects fall under two categories: 1) projects that are needed or required by current biological opinions (BO) and 2) projects that address other needed actions or establish data and infrastructure that will be necessary for subbasin planning and implementation (Other HP).

The projects have been initially placed in one of four categories (HP, BO, Other HP and Not HP). Discussion of these categories and project placement within them will occur at next week's meeting. It has been proposed that the specific results to the 13 criteria will not be included in the final recommendation to the NWPPC.

Results from the January 22 AFC meeting: Action notes will not be provided for that meeting. The AFC approved all but 16 of the anadromous fish projects. The projects were placed in one of three categories (HP "A", HP "B", and Not HP). The AFC will request an additional week to complete its review (16 proposals were not rated).

Item 3: Yakama Nation Wenatchee Coho Project Change in Scope

The Yakama Nation requested a change in scope for a component of Project Number 9604000, Mid-Columbia Coho Restoration Project. Due to a large return of coho in 2000, additional incubation space is necessary. The attached request is to modify the contract to expand one building to add incubation space. All work proposed is covered under an existing NEPA and in concert with the current feasibility phase of the project. The cost of the contract modification is within the 10% discretionary contingency of the COTR.

Action: The AFC approved the modification to Project Number 199604000, Mid-Columbia Coho Restoration Project to expand incubation space at the Two Rivers site using low cost concepts.

Item 4: Next Meeting

The next AFC meeting is scheduled for Monday January 22, 2001 at CBFWA offices in Portland.

An additional AFC meeting has been scheduled for January 29 at the CBFWA office to make final high priority project recommendations. See January 24, 2001 consent mail for support material for the meeting.