

## UCUT O&M Fact Sheet

- It is a FCRPS Program responsibility (Act and Program language here)
  - Losses directly attributed to FCRPS by Project
  - Losses adopted as part of Program
  - Identified in Act (Fish and WILDLIFE)
  - O&M is the “maturing” aspect of the wildlife program
- It does require long-term funding
  - Cannot “credit” BPA for existing HUs if O&M funding is lacking
- Managers and BPA best suited to identify project details and Scopes of Work and budgets
  - It must be developed on a case-by-case basis between the sponsor and BPA Technical Representative
    - It must be defined and implemented consistently
    - These folks know what costs are reasonable and can question inconsistencies
- It is a small part of the Program budget – less than \$5 million
- It is not a linear expression of costs/acre into the future
  - Costs will increase, but costs/acre will decrease
  - “Efficiencies of scale” are real and will be realized in all areas when mitigation is complete
- Most project areas are in an O&M phase or at least should be
  - Some areas have more to do to complete mitigation and move into pure O&M phase – Willamette, N&S Idaho, some in WA remaining to be mitigated
- Information reported by BPA as O&M was inaccurate
  - Some was enhancement/restoration, and pre-acquisition
  - Some acreage totals misrepresented or not included
  - Some work elements misrepresented as O&M
- Need to define and standardize O&M for consistency in implementation
- Need to refine and improve information and reporting