



October 11, 2000

TO: Members Management Group

FROM: Brian Allee *Bja*

SUBJECT: Draft 10/3/00 MMG Meeting Action Notes

**MEMBERS MANAGEMENT GROUP
MEETING/CONFERENCE CALL
October 3, 2000
1:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.
CBFWA Office, Portland, OR**

DRAFT ACTION NOTES

Obj. #3 = 18%; Obj. #1 = 82%

Attendees: Ron Boyce, ODFW; Bert Bowler, IDFG; Patty O'Toole, CTWSRO, Chad Colter, SBT; Gary James, CTUIR; Bob Foster, WDFW; Joe Peone, CCT; John Palensky, NMFS; Howard Schaller, USFWS; Susan Barnes, ODFW; Bruce Schmidt, PSFMC; Tom Giese, Tom Iverson, Frank Young, Brian Allee, Kathie Titzler, and Jann Eckman, CBFWA/F

By Phone: Robert Matt, CdAT, Joe Maroney, KT, Sue Ireland, KTI, Dave Statler, NPT, Carl Sheeler, CTUIR, Keith Underwood, STI, Brian Marotz, MDFW&P, and Dean Osterman, KT (until replaced by Joe Maroney).

Note: To help focus the budget discussion and respond to the request from Brian Marotz to add a Resident Fish Committee budget request item to the agenda, the agenda items and discussion order were changed. The draft action notes reflect this change.

ITEM 1: Resident Fish Committee Budget Request

Discussion: Brian Marotz explained the request and apologized for not allowing more time for the MMG to review. The urgency is to get this funding request approved before it "ices up" and the work can't be done. Additional funds are needed to meet salary needs. Gary said that the request seems

acceptable, the RFC has approved, and the funding source has been identified, so the MMG should take action. Sue also indicated that the request had been highly scrutinized by the RFC prior to approval.

ACTION: The MMG approved the request and recommended it be forwarded to the Members for consent mail approval.

ITEM 2: FY 2001 Budget Decision

- Strategy to Respond
 - Through CBFWA
 - Tech Budget Review (attachments 2a & 2c)
 - Policy Concerns (Item 3)

Discussion: Tom Giese provided an overview. The NWPPC, after applying their seven principals, cut \$16M from CBFWA's recommendations, leaving a surplus of \$7.3M. Doug Marker lays out this process in attachment 2c. Does CBFWA want to do anything collectively about this NWPPC budget recommendation? Concern was expressed about the criteria, that they were applied inappropriately and inconsistently. That the NWPPC is trying to find funds for their innovative and early action projects at the expense of the ongoing projects. Gary said that there is a statement in the FY 2000 project proposal that this is a cooperative effort between the NWPPC, BPA and CBFWA and we need to make a statement that it was not a cooperative effort. John said the NWPPC is trying to hold the budget constant when there are left over MOA funds.

ACTION: The MMG agreed to the following actions:

- Respond in writing;
- Use the draft RFC letter as a starting point'
- Directed a subgroup (Carl Sheeler, Howard Schaller, Brian Marotz, Gary James, Chad Colter and Tom Giese) to redraft the letter;
- State the need to have an active role to resolve these differences with NWPPC and BPA in a meeting;
- Have policy members present the letter to the NWPPC at their Fish 4 meeting on 10/10/00;
- Directed staff to put together "talking points" extracted from the letter; and,
- Requested the subgroup have a draft letter available for MMG review by 10/5/00, then to forward to Members for consent mail approval.

ITEM 3: Program Budgets (attachment 2b)

- What are the budget categories?
- How large should the budget categories be?

Discussion: Tom Giese indicated that Doug Marker said that any increases in budget would go back to the NWPPC. Giese indicated that the "pot" of funds

could be \$10M at the Quarterly Review and asked the MMG if CBFWA wanted to comment by 10/10/00 on the allocation between:

- New funds to the provinces undergoing the rolling review (Gorge and Intermountain;
- Subbasin planning;
- Early Actions;
- Land and Water Trust
- FY 2001 Reconciliation

Tom Giese indicated that the NWPPC plans on adopting the Program and how to allocate the \$7.3M at the NWPPC meeting on 10/11 & 10/12.

ACTION: The MMG decided to have a conference call on 10/6/00 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon to discuss this agenda item and the draft letter further.

(h\w\mmg\2000_1003\anotes)